are determined directly, and that in calculations of glyeeride composition, no theories of aeyl group distribution need to be invoked.

The interpretation of ehromatograms of oils such as eoeonut and palm kernel is complicated by the influence of the chain lengths of the esterified acids on the Rf values of the constituent glyeerides. For those fats for which we quote data here, the component fatty acids are predominantly palmitic and sterie acids or their unsaturated analogues, and no fractionation which could be attributed to differences in chain length was observed. In the ease of the nut oils, the differences in fatty acid chain length are much greater, and exert some noticeable influence on the Rf values of the component glycerides.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Experimental work during this investigation by Miss M. McMullin and C. R. Gauterin.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Quimby, I). T., 1%. L. Wille, and E. S. Lutton, JAOCS, 80, 186  $(1953)$ 2. Riemenschneider, R. W., C. E. Swift, and C. E. Sands, Oil and

Soap, 17, 145 (1940).<br>
3. Riemenschneider, R. W., JAOCS, 31, 266 (1954).<br>
4. Riemenschneider, R. W., F. E. Luddy, M. L. Swain, and W. C.<br>
Ault, Oil and Soap, 23, 276 (1946).<br>
5. Brown, J. B., Chem. Revs., 29, 333 (1941).<br>

- 
- 
- 7. Hilditch, T. P., and C. H. Lee, J. Chem. Soc., 3106 (1927).<br>8. Kartha, A. R. S., JAOCS, 30, 326 (1953).<br>9. von Rudloff, E., Can. J. Chem., 34, 1413 (1956).<br>10. Dutton, H. J., and J. A. Cannon, JAOCS, 33, 46 (1956).<br>11.
- 
- 
- (1961).<br>12. Magnusson, J. R., E. G. Hammond, *Ibid.*, 36, 325 (1959).<br>13. Jones, G. V., and E. G. Hammond, *Ibid.*, 38, 96 (1961).<br>14. Sahasrabudhe, M. R., and D. G. Chapman, *Ibid.*, 38, 88 (1961).<br>15. Inouye, Y., and M.
- 
- 16. Kaufmann, H. P., Fette-Seifen Anstrichmittel, *63*, 807 (1961).<br>17. Kaufmann, H. P., *Ibid., 63,* 828 (1961).<br>18. Kaufmann, H. P., Z. Makus, and T. H. Khoe, *Ibid., 64,* 1
- (1962).<br>19. Michalec, C., M. Sule, and J. Mistan, Nature, 193, 63 (1962).<br>20. Mattson, F. H., and L. W. Beck, J. Biol. Chem., 219, 735 (1956).<br>21. Savary, P., and P. Desnuelle, Biochem. Biophysica. Acta, 21,<br>349 (1956).
- 
- 
- 22. Coleman, M. H., *JAOCS, 88,* 685 (1961).<br>23. Youngs, C. G., *Ibid., 38, 62 (*1961).<br>24. Lucas, H. J., and W. F. Eberz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., *56,* 460 (1934).
- 25. Eberz, W. F., M. J. Welge, D. M. Yost, and H. J. Lucas, *Ibid.*, *59*, 45 (1937).
- 
- 26. Winstein, S., and H. J. Lucas, *Ibid.*, 60, 836 (1938).<br>27. Nichols, P. L., *Ibid.*, 74, 1091 (1952).<br>28. Dutton, H. J., C. R. Scholfield, and E. P. Jones, Chem. & Ind.,<br>1874 (1961).
- 
- 29. deVries, B., Paper presented to the Vlth I.S.F.Congress, London, 1962, Chem. & Ind. 1049 (1962). 30. Barrett, C. B., IV[. S. J. Dallas, and F. B. Padley, Chem. & Ind.,
- 
- 1050 (1962).<br>
31. Stahl, E., Pharmazie, 11, 633 (1956).<br>
32. Privett, O. S., and M. L. Blank, J. Lipid Research 2, 37 (1961).<br>
33. Coleman, M. H., and W. G. Fulton, Int. Conf. Biochem. Problems<br>
of Lipids, Pergamon Press,

[Received November 5, 1962-Accepted April 12, 1963]

# **Methods for Improving Yields of Cyclic Acid**  from Linseed Oil<sup>1</sup>

**I- P. FRIEDRICH, I- C. PALMER, E. W. BELL, and I. C. COWAN, Northern Regional Research Laboratory, 2 Peoria, Illinois** 

### **Abstract**

Liquid C-18 saturated monocarboxylic acids, which are termed "cylic acids" because they contain a ring structure, have been prepared by the action of excess sodium hydroxide on linseed oil in ethylene glycol at elevated temperatures, followed by distillation and hydrogenation of the resulting free fatty acid monomers and by separation of the straight-chain components by lowtemperature crystallization from acetone. In a survey of other possible catalysts and reaction conditions, cyclic acid yields were improved from the previously reported 32.4 g to 43.5 g of cyclic acid per 100 g of linseed fatty acids by removing water from the starting materials and using the monosodium derivative of ethylene glycol as catalyst. The corresponding amount of polymer formed decreased because of a decrease in hydroxylation and subsequent polyester formation.

# Introduction

**EXARLIER C-18** saturated monocarboxylic acids that fail to crystallize at  $-70C$  were reported to have been prepared from linseed oil, linolenie acid, and tung oil  $(3)$ . The relative proportions of liquid eyelic acids, straight-chain monomerie acids, and polymer formed varied with the substrate and the conditions employed. Under reported conditions, an appreciable loss in total acid equivalents occurred during distillation of crude acids, as indicated by the earboxyl balance. Subsequent investigations showed that the

polymers had neutralization equivalents ranging from 450-1,100. Saponification of these polymers reduced neutralization equivalents to about 300, only slightly higher than expected for normal polymeric acids. Esterification and subsequent distillation of the saponified fraction yielded additional cyclic and other monomeric acids, as well as a residue of polymeric acid having a neutralization equivalent slightly above 300.

In this latest work, removing water from the reaction mixture and using the monosodium derivative of ethylene glycol as catalyst increased yields of eyelic acids from a previously reported  $32.4$  g  $(3)$ -43.5 g/100 g of linseed fatty acids, with correspondingly less hydroxylation and subsequent polyester formation.

# **Experimental**

*Preparation of Catalyst-Solvent Systems.* Except for the niekel catalyst, systems were prepared by dissolving a catalyst in an appropriate amount of solvent to produce a concentration such that a  $1:3$  (wt/vol) linseed fatty acid to solvent ratio would give a 50% excess of catalyst.

Ethylene glycol-sodium hydroxide: 60 g (1.5 moles) of reagent grade NaOH was dissolved in 834 **ml** of stock ethylene glycol.

Dry ethylene glycol-sodium hydroxide: made by dissolving 60 g of reagent grade NaOH in 834 ml of ethylene glycol which had been distilled from  $\frac{1}{20}$ its weight of Na. A constant boiling fraction was colleeted at 196C.

Ethylene glycol-monosodium derivative of ethylene glycol: made by dissolving 34.5 g of Na in 870 ml of stock ethylene glyeol with vigorous stirring. Tempera-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented at the AOCS meeting, in Chicago, Ill., 1961.<br><sup>2</sup> A laboratory of the No. Utiliz. Res. & Dev. Div., ARS, U.S.D.A.

ture must be kept below 50C during addition of the sodium. If the sodium becomes molten the reaction becomes very difficult to control.

Dry ethylene glycol-monosodium derivative of dry ethylene glycol: prepared by dissolving 34.5 g of Na in *870* ml of dry ethylene glycol.

T-butyl alcohol-K derivative of T-butyl alcohol: made by dissolving 17 g of potassium tertiary butoxide in 175 ml of reagent grade T-butyl alcohol, from MSA Research Corp., Callery, Pa.

All these catalyst-solvent systems are extremely hydroscopic. For this reason all were prepared immediately before use.

Heptane-Ni system: the S-poisoned Ni conjugation catalyst was like that used by Miller et al. (6) for the isomerization of safflower oil.

Linseed fatty acids: noubreak linseed oil was steam hydrolyzed. The fatty acids were distilled under vacuum to give a light-colored product containing 53.2% linolenic acid (GLC) and having a neutralization equivalent of 278.

*Isomerization and Cyclization of Linseed Fatty Acids.* Again, except with the Ni catalyst, all isomerizations were carried out in a 2,000-ml stainless-steel, medium pressure, stirred autoclave. After the autoclave was flushed with dry nitrogen, the reactants (linseed fatty acids and catalyst-solvent solution) were siphoned in to eliminate atmospheric moisture. The reaction mixture was heated rapidly with steam to 100C, then the autoclave was placed in an electric heating jacket and brought to the desired temperature: about 30 min at  $235C$ , 45 min at  $260C$ , and 1 hr at 295C. The temperature was automatically controlled within  $\pm$  3C. Samples were taken at regular intervals for analysis; after the reactiou was terminated, the autoclave and its contents were quenched in cold water.

Samples of reaction products were diluted with 10 vol of distilled water in a separatory funnel. First, 5 vol of n-heptane was added and then an excess of dilute HC1. The liberated free fatty acids were extracted in the heptane phase by shaking the funnel. The layers were separated and the heptane layer was washed three times with distilled water. The heptane solution was then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate.

After stripping off the heptane, the crude acids were distilled under a pressure of  $25$   $\mu$  through an 8-in. Vigreaux column to a pot temperature of 250C. The distillate was collected in two fractions, that boiling below 150C (forerun) and the main one at 150- 180C.

Hydrogenation of the main fraction was carried out as follows: 3-g samples of the main fraction were hydrogenated under a pressure of 2,000 psi at 150C for 3 hr with 6 mg of 10% Pd on powdered charcoal as catalyst. The hydrogenation bomb was allowed to cool to room temperature before opening to prevent darkening of the product. The oily, semisolid product was dissolved in acetone and the catalyst filtered off. The acetone was then stripped off to yield the hydrogenated acids  $(I.V. < 1)$ .

Separation of straight chain saturates from cyclic acids was done by redissolving samples in 10 vol of acetone and cooling to  $-40C$  with constant stirring. The straight chain materials crystallized and were filtered. The solid acids were reerystallized twice from acetone to remove the last traces of cyclic acids. The apparatus employed for this procedure has been described in a recent publication. (2).

Results of the isomerizations are shown in Table I.

# **Discussion**

By using a 50% excess of catalyst and eliminating water from the starting materials, the yield of cyclic acids obtained from linseed fatty acids (53.2% linolenic) was increased from about 30 g to 43.5g per 100 g.

Figure 1 shows the effect of reaction time on the yield of cyclic acids at three different temperatures when the ethylene glycol-monosodium derivative of ethylene glycol solvent-catalyst system was used. At 295C (Table I, No. 9-14) the cyclic acid yield reaches a maximum in 15 min and then decreases rapidly with increased reaction time. At 235C (Table I, No. 1-3) the cyclization is comparatively slow and fails to reach a maximum in  $7\frac{2}{3}$  hr. At 260C (Table I, No. 4-8) the yield of cyclic acid reached a maximum in 3 hr and then decreased slowly. Since this temperature gave the highest yield, it served as a basis for comparison of the various solvent-catalyst systems.

Figure 2 shows the effect of reaction time on the

No.	Temp $\Omega$	Time at temp, hr	$N\mathbf{E}$ crude acids	$\%$	Forerun, Monomeric acids, %	NE monomeric acids	Polymer, %	NE polymer	Cyclic acids. %
Solvent-Catalyst System: Crude glycol and monosodium derivative Solvent-Catalyst System: Dry glycol and monosodium derivative Solvent-Catalyst System: Dry glycol and NaOH Solvent-Catalyst System: Crude glycol and NaOH Solvent-Catalyst System: T-butyl alcohol and K derivative a All percentages are based on recovered crude acids.	235 235 235 260 260 260 260 260 295 295 295 295 295 295 260 260 260 295 295 295 260 260 235	1 3 7% $\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ 3 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\mathbf{2}$ 7 1 $\mathbf{2}$ 3 0 1/2 1 3 3 5	283 282 282 282 284 288 289 295 284 290 286 301 314 356 294 296 293 297 294 312 292 285 293	 $\cdots$         1.5 1.9 2.3 3.1 1.11  0.5 0.7 1.7 2.4 0.6 0.8 	95.2 93.2 89.2 95.1 91.1 88.6 90.2 84.9 93.4 92.7 88.0 81.0 78.0 53.2 93.7 90.0 88.4 92.2 87.7 78.2 86.7 84.8 93.7	280 280 281 281 278 280 282 287 280 282 281 284 288 290 280 283 282 282 283 283 282 282 286	4.8 6.8 10.8 4.9 8.9 11.4 9.8 15.1 6.6 7.3 10.5 17.1 19.7 43.7 6.3 10.0 11.1 7.1 10.6 19.4 12.7 14.4 6.3	348 344 321 334 347 356 380 379 375 363 381 458 553 900 343 349 347 368 391 488 331 338 415	24.2 32.6 38.1 36.4 39.1 42.5 40.8 39.8 40.2 40.9 40.5 36.8  24.8 38.4 39.6 43.5 41.0 40.2 35.2 39.4 37.3 44.0

TABLE 1



FIG. 1. Yield of cyclic fatty acids at various temperatures using crude ethylene glycol as a solvent and its monosodium derivative as a catalyst (50% excess).

neutralization equivalent of the polymeric residue at three different temperatures when the ethylene glycolmonosodium derivative of ethylene glycol solventcatalyst system was used. The increase in neutralization equivalent observed at 295C was caused by esterification. The polymer (NE 900, Table I, No. 14) was saponified yielding crude acids (NE 318) which were distilled under vacuum to give additional monomer. Table I (No.  $9-14$ ) shows that the amount of polymer as well as its neutralization equivalent, increases rapidly with time at 295C. The slight, but definite, decrease observed in the neutralization equiv-



FIG. 2. Change in neutral equivalent of polymer with time and temperature using the same solvent and catalyst as used for Fig. 1.

alent of the polymer formed at 235C is unexplained.

It can be seen from Table I by comparing No. 22, 21, 6, and 17 that, as greater care was taken to remove water from the reaction media, the yield of cyclic acids increased and the amount of polymer decreased. This increase may result from the presence of a more effective catalyst species, i.e.,  $\mathrm{OCH}_2\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{OH}$  as compared to OH. However, in the presence of water, and particularly at high temperatures, a considerable amount of hydroxylation occurs and subsequent polyesterification occurs during distillation of the crude acids. When large amounts of polyester are formed in the absence of  $H_2O$  (Table I, No. 14), the hydroxyl presumably must come from the catalyst or from decomposition of the solvent, provided there are not precursors in the linseed fatty acids. Ethylene glycol dehydrates in the presence of an aluminosilicate catalyst to produce acetaldehyde, acetic acid, and ethylene  $(7)$ . In the cyclizations carried out at 295C, a flammable gas is produced, but its composition has not been determined.

Beal and coworkers (1) found in the linseed-oil, NaOH, ethylene-glycol system, that if, after the cyclization, the crude acids were esterified thus blocking the possibility of polyester formation, yields of 42.4 g of cyclic acids per 100 g of linseed oil could be obtained. This yield agrees closely with that obtained under essentially anhydrous conditions without esterification. The reduced percentages of polymer found under anhydrous conditions are also equivalent to the percentages obtained by Beal. The polymers formed under anhydrous conditions (Table I) still have slightly high neutralization equivalents and also minor amounts of ester, as indicated by their lower saponification equivalents.

Previously (3) the best conversion of eleostearic acid to a cyclic product by purely thermal means was about 25%, whereas alkali treatment of tung oil (about  $80\%$  eleostearic) resulted in about  $70\%$  conversion based on the eleostearic acid present. Since neither the alpha nor beta form contains a cis-11 bond, the configuration is unfavorable for thermal eyelization.

To further substantiate the necessity of alkali for cyclization, a sulfur-poisoned Ni isomerization catalyst was used. The results were inconclusive. Under the best reaction condition, i.e., 1 part linseed fatty acid, 3 vol heptane,  $4.5\%$  catalyst at 180C for 3 hr then 260C for 3 hr, a yield of 14% liquid C-18 saturated acid was obtained after hydrogenation. This material has a different GLC pattern than that obtained by the alkaline treatment. MacDonald (5) also noted that the cyclic material formed by heat treatment of linseed oil showed no absorption above  $255 \ \text{m}\mu$  as did the alkaline-treated linseed fatty acids. This absorption is characteristic for cyclohexadienes (8). If these liquid acids produced in the presence of the Ni catalyst are cyclic acids, they must then be formed by a different mechanism from those produced by alkaline isomerization. Although this supposition does not constitute unequivocal proof that alkali catalyzes cyclization as well as conjugation, it certainly lends strong support to this contention. If alkali does catalyze cyclization, a mechanism which would account for the hydroxylation, as well as the cyclization, is shown in Figure 3.

Compounds of type I are known to be formed by the alkaline isomerization of linolenic acid (4). Furthermore a compound of type IV is formed if the



Fie. 3. Possible base catalyzed mechanisms for cyelization.

alkaline isomerization is continued at elevated temperatures (3). One cannot explain the presence of hydroxyl in the reaction products on the basis of a thermal mechanism for cyclization. On the other hand, if a nueleophillie attack by the catalyst on the conjugated system is postulated, intermediates of type II and III could exist which would give rise to structures IV, V, or VI. Although hydroxyl has been found in the reaction products both by spectrophotometry and by acetylation with acetic anhydride, no amount of ether linkage was detectable. Route  $I \rightarrow III \rightarrow VI$  is therefore improbable. In the presence of water, if the reaction proceeds through an intermediate of type II, the large increase in polymer because of hydroxylation and subsequent polyester formation could be explained: On the other hand in the absence of water, if the reaction proceeds through intermediate III, an increase in IV should result since the alternate route  $I \rightarrow III \rightarrow VI$  has been ruled out.

#### ${\tt REFERENCE}$

- 
- 

- 
- 1. Beal, R. E. Private communication.<br>
2. Friedrich, J. P., Anal. Chem., 33, 974-975 (1961).<br>
3. Friedrich, J. P., H. M. Teeter, J. C. Cowan, and G. E. McManis,<br>
JAOCS, 33, 329-332 (1961).<br>
4. Kass, J. P., and G. O. Burr,
- 

[Received May 21, 1962-Accepted April 16, 1963]

# *Vernonia anthelmintica* **(L.) Willd. Enzyme Studies. Conversion of Epoxyoleic Acid to** *(+)-threo-*12, 13-Dihydroxyoleic Acid<sup>1</sup>

# W. E. SCOTT, C. F. KREWSON, F. E. LUDDY, and R. W. RIEMENSCHNEIDER, **Eastern Regional**  Research Laboratory,<sup>2</sup> Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

# **Abstract**

*Vernonia anthelmintica* (L.) Willd. seed was found to contain enzymes that were active only after the seed was ground. By deactivation of the enzymes, an oil rich in trivernolin (the triglyeeride of epoxyoleic acid) was produced, and pure trivernolin was isolated. Acceleration of the enzyme activity altered the composition of the oil as evidenced by changes in free fatty acid content, iodine values, and oxirane oxygen (epoxy) content. Investigation showed that these changes were due, at least partially, to the conversion of epoxyoleic acid to *(+)-threo-12,13*  dihydroxyoleic acid, which was isolated in pure form. Pure *(--)-threo-12-13-dihydroxyoleie* acid was prepared by acetolysis of *V. anthelmintica*  seed oil. Neither of these isomers had been obtained previously from mature *V. anthelmintica*  seed.

# **Introduction**

T VARIOUS stages in the maturity of *Vernonia anthelmintica* (L.) Willd. seed there appear to be present four active principles of an enzymatic nature. Miwa et al. (1) reported the presence of two principles in maturing seed; one, an oxidative and, two, a dehydrating principle. A hydrolytic principle

in the mature seed, active only after the seed is crushed, was discussed previously (2).

This paper elaborates on the behavior of the hydrolytic principle and on the activity of a fourth principle, a hydrating one, that is active when the mature seed is crushed and stored under a controlled experimental environment. The announcement of the presence of this hydrating principle responsible for the production of *(+)-threo-12,13-dihydroxyeleie* acid was made recently  $(3)$ . The  $(+)$  and  $(-)$  isomers of *threo-12,13-dihydroxyoleic* acid were prepared previously by Chisholm and Hopkins (4) by acetolysis of the seed oils of *Malope trifida,* Car. and *Vernonia colorata,* Drake, respectively.

Since this paper was submitted to the Editor, an article has appeared on the enzymatic production of *(+)-threo-9,10-dihydroxyoctadecanoic* acid in the spores of plant rusts, by A. P. Tulloch, Can. J. Biochem. Physiol., *41,* 1115 (1963).

# **Experimental**

*Analytical Methods.* The source of seed, analytical methods, Soxhlet, and rapid extraction techniques used in these investigations were described previously (2).

Thin-layer chromatographic procedures were essentially the same as published methods (5,6). The GLC analyses were done on a silicon rubber (SE-30) column using programmed temperatures as described by Herb et al. (7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented in part at the AOCS meeting in Chicago, Ill., 1961; and<br>Toronto, Canada, 1962.<br><sup>2</sup> A laboratory of the E. Utiliz. Res. & Dev. Div., ARS, U.S.D.A.